R.R. No. 2631/06
Presented by Shri Seema Sagar
dated 20/12/06

SINGLE BENCH

CF 1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

W.P.(C) NO. 7489 OF 2006

PETITIONER M/s Radhe Shyam Udyog, through its Proprietor Shri Sanjay Bandiya, son of Late Radhe Shyam Bandiya, aged about 30 years, resident of Gandhi Ganj, Raigarh (C.G.)

VS

RESPONDENT Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board, through its Chairman, Raigarh (C.G.)

PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/ OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(26)

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No(C) 7489/2006 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	24.1.2007 Sushri Sharmila Singhai, Advocate, for the petitioner. Shri A.S. Gaharwar, Advocate for the respondent. Heard. This petition is directed against Bill dated 30th October, 2006 of Annexure P-3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the above bill has been issued to the petitioner on the basis of some inspection conducted in the premises of the petitioner, however before issuing the said bill, no mandatory notice as required under Section 126 of the Electricity Act was given to the petitioner and issuance of such bill is per-se illegal. She further submits that because of non-payment of the amount of the bill, electricity connection of the petitioner's premises has been disconnected. On the other hand learned counsel for the respondent/Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board submits that Chhattisgarh State Electricity Regulatory Commission has framed Chhattisgarh State Electricity Regulatory Commission (Redressal of Grievances of Consumers and Establishment of Forum and Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2004 and as per Regulation	

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

33

मामला क्रमांक ..... W.P.(C) No. 7489/2006 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश 2	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	22, complaint mechanism has been provided for redressal of any dispute of the consumers regarding their electricity bills. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner. This petition cannot be entertained in the light of the fact that alternative remedy under the provisions of Chhattisgarh State Electricity Regulatory Commission (Redressal of Grievances of Consumers and Establishment of Forum and Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2004 is available. In view of the above observation, the petition is disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P. No. 5128/2006 also stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **Dhirendra Mishra** **Judge**	

P/-

P.T.O.